

RUN-ON RUN-OFF CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN 5 YEAR ASSESSMENT

AREA 3 RWS TYPE I LANDFILL, FP 77-04
HOOSIER ENERGY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES, INC.
MEROM GENERATING STATION
SULLIVAN COUNTY, SULLIVAN, INDIANA

ATC PROJECT NO. 170LF01152

OCTOBER 5, 2021

PREPARED FOR:

HOOSIER ENERGY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES, INC.

MEROM GENERATING STATION

P.O. BOX 908

BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47402-0908

ATTENTION: MR. LON PETTS



October 5, 2021

Mr. Lon Petts
Hoosier Energy
P.O. Box 908
2501 South Cooperative Way
Bloomington, Indiana 47403-5175

Re: Run-On Run-Off Control System (ROROCS) Plan

5 Year Assessment

Merom Generating Station Area 3 Type I RWS Landfill Sullivan County, Sullivan, Indiana ATC Project No. 170LF01152 ATC Group Services LLC

7988 Centerpoint Dr. Suite 100 Indianapolis, IN 46256

Phone +1 317 849 4990 Fax +1 317 849 4278

www.atcgroupservices.com

Dear Mr. Petts:

ATC Group Services LLC (ATC) is pleased to present the following Run-On Run-Off Control System (ROROCS) Plan for the Merom Generating Station, Area 3 Type I Restricted Waste Landfill located at 550 West Old Highway 54, Sullivan, Indiana 47882.

As required by 40 CFR §257.81, the owner or operator of a coal combustion residuals (CCR) landfill must design, construct, operate, and maintain:

- 1. A run-on control system to prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from a 25-year 24-hour storm; and
- 2. A run-off control system from the active portion of the CCR unit to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 25-year 24-hour storm.
- 3. The frequency for revising/updating the plan is every five (5) years. The original date for the current ROROCS plan is October 14, 2016.

Contained here within is a summary report which demonstrates that the Merom Generating Station Area 3 Landfill design measures are compliant with the CCR Rule.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist you with this project. If you have any questions concerning information contained in this report, please do not hesitate to call the undersigned at 317.849.4990.

Sincerely,

ATC Group Services LLC

Juan D. Carrizo, P.E. Senior Project Engineer No. PE11500037

STATE OF

MDIANA

SSIONAL ENGINEERS

William Paraskevas, P.E. Principal Engineer

10 Illian Paral



RUN-ON RUN-OFF CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN 5 YEAR ASSESSMENT

HOOSIER ENERGY MEROM GENERATING STATION AREA 3 TYPE I RESTRICTED WASTE LANDFILL

OCTOBER 5, 2021

PREPARED BY:



Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
ENGINEERING COMPUTATION METHOD	1
Storm Data	1
Modeling Procedure	1
RUN-ON CONTROL SYSTEM SUMMARY	3
Perimeter Controls	3
RUN-OFF CONTROL SYSTEM SUMMARY	3
Interim Conditions	3
Final Cover Conditions	4
CONCLUSION	4

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – Capacity Tables

APPENDIX B – Modeling Results

APPENDIX C - References and Plan Sheets

INTRODUCTION

The Hoosier Energy Merom Generating Station Area 3 Type I Restricted Waste Landfill (Merom Area 3 Landfill) is permitted by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) under Permit FP-77-04 and is regulated under Indiana Administrative Code 329 IAC, Article 10. The facility is also subject to 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 257 Subpart D requirements (CCR Rule). The facility is located in Sullivan County, Indiana, in Section 2 of Gill Township and within Township-7-North / Range-10-West (See Figure 1). The permitted facility Solid Waste Boundary includes an area of approximately 112.0 acres.

This Run-On and Run-Off Control System (ROROCS) Plan was prepared for Merom Generating Station Area 3 landfill in accordance with 40 CFR 257.81 (Run-on and run-off controls for CCR landfills). This ROROCS Plan documents that the facility control systems have been designed and constructed to meet the CCR Rule following specified engineering calculations for the 25-year 24-hour storm. This ROROCS Plan will be placed in the facility's operating record as required by 40 CFR 257.105(g)(3).

ENGINEERING COMPUTATION METHOD

The run-off flow from the surface of the landfill cover was calculated using modelling computations which employ the use of the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) TR-55 Curve Number method. The curve number selected for the modelling procedure is 78 based on a grass-covered surface in good condition. The SCS Curve Number equations can be found with references in Section 1 of Appendix C.

Storm Data


The storm event that was used for the engineering computations and modelling is the 25-year 24-Hour storm from the NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) TP 40 isohyetal curves for the State of Indiana published on the Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) website. The TP-40 isohyetal map for the referenced storm event can be found in Appendix B.

Based on the TP 40 curve, the 25-year 24-hour Duration Frequency rainfall amount for Sullivan County, Indiana, where the Merom Area 3 Landfill is located, is approximately 5.2 inches.

Modeling Procedure

Sub-drainage area flows were determined for the combination of the existing landfill and the proposed expansion area using the SEDCAD4 computer model developed by R.C. Warner of the University of Kentucky and P.J. Schwab of the Civil Soft Design. The sub-drainage area flows are based on drainage area, elevation change across the drainage area, flow path length, and soil cover characteristics.

Individual surface water control features were modelled using SEDCAD4 to determine the depth of flow and flow area in the conveyance structure. The surface water controls with the greatest drainage areas (highest loading conditions) were modelled to demonstrate that all other features of the same type, by





VICINITY MAP

AREA 3 RESTRICTED WASTE LANDFILL MEROM GENERATING STATION

Project Number: 170LF01152		Drn. By: BH
Date: 09/30/2021	Scale: 1":2,000'	Ckd. By: JC
		_



extension, have sufficient capacity. Flow depths and resulting freeboard computations are summarized in Appendix A (Capacity Tables).

RUN-ON CONTROL SYSTEM SUMMARY

The EPA definition of run-on flow is defined as:

"...Any liquid that drains over land onto any part of a CCR landfill or any lateral expansion of a CCR landfill. In surface water hydrology, run-on is a quantity of surface run-off, or excess rain, snowmelt, or other sources of water, which flows from an upstream catchment area onto a specific downstream location."

Run-on protection for the Merom Area 3 Landfill consists of grading and perimeter controls which divert flows from adjacent areas and off-site watersheds away from the landfill. The landfill site is located at significantly higher elevation than the surrounding watershed, and outside of any floodplain area, therefore the potential for run-on flow is low. A copy of the FEMA floodplain map for this area is included in Appendix C2 of this report. The run-on controls described below prevent outside flow from reaching the interim and final cover areas.

Perimeter Controls

A perimeter haul road built around the base of the landfill is approximately 3-5 feet above the natural grade and provides protection from off-site run-on flows during storm events. The haul road also has ditches that collect the surface water drainage flows, diverting it away from the landfill site. In addition, existing ditches for W. County Road 25 S and S. County Road 450 W collect offsite drainage, directing it away from the landfill site to the west and south respectively.

RUN-OFF CONTROL SYSTEM SUMMARY

Run-off control measures for the Merom Area 3 Landfill are designed to safely discharge run-off flow away from the cover to prevent ponding, erosion, and excessive infiltration. The run-off control measures for Merom Area 3 Landfill include top-of-slope berms, side slope berms, drop inlets, downdrains, perimeter ditches, and retention basins. The landfill's drainage system has been designed and constructed to receive drainage flows from 5 cells that are proposed for the landfill.

Interim Conditions

The surface water runoff from the landfill's two (2) cells that have been constructed to drain to existing diversion berms and downdrains which then discharge to the existing perimeter ditch system. Flow from the perimeter ditches are routed to two (2) existing ponds, one on the north and south sides of the Landfill. These two ponds then discharge their flows to the West Sediment Basin Area. Overflow from the spillway is discharged to an NPDES outfall area.

The perimeter ditch has a 12-foot bottom width with 3H:1V sideslopes and 3-foot depth (See Detail #2, Appendix C). The perimeter ditches, berms, downdrain pipes, and retention pond (West Sediment Basin) were found to have sufficient capacity and/or freeboard to handle the design storm. Capacity calculations are provided in Appendix A.

Final Cover Conditions

Once final cover is complete additional diversion berms, downdrains, and perimeter ditch segments will be constructed to supplement the existing stormwater control features. The final cover run-on and run-off controls will be the same type as those used during the interim conditions.

A typical diversion berm on the landfill side slopes has a depth of two (2) feet with 4H:1V and 3H:1V channel sideslopes (See Detail #1, Appendix C). Diversion berms drain to either 12" or 18"-diameter downdrain pipes, depending on the drainage area size.

CONCLUSION

As required by 40 CFR 257.81, the Merom Generating Station Area 3 Type I Restricted Waste Landfill Run-On Control System prevents flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from a 25-year 24-hour storm and the Run-Off Control System is able to collect and control the water volume resulting from the 25-year 24-hour storm.

Appendix A: Capacity Tables

Appendix B: Modelling Results

Appendix C: References and Plan Sheets

Appendix A: Capacity Tables

CAPACITY TABLES

Section #1

Largest Drainage Area = 1.5 acres

SEDCAD	Feature	Channel	Flow	
ID	Туре	Depth	Depth	Freeboard
		(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)
#2	Top-of-Slope Berm	2	0.38	1.62
#9	Top-of-Slope Berm	2	0.68	1.32

Section #2

Largest Drainage Area = 1.23 acres

SEDCAD	Feature	Channel	Flow	
ID	Туре	Depth	Depth	Freeboard
		(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)
#9	Side Slope Berm	2	0.92	1.08

Section #3

Largest Drainage Area = 1.2 acres

SEDCAD	Feature	Channel	Flow	
ID	Туре	Depth	Depth	Freeboard
		(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)
#2	Perimeter Ditch	3	0.35	2.65
#9	Perimeter Ditch	3	0.35	2.65

CAPACITY TABLES

Section #4

Largest Drainage Area = 7.0 acres

SEDCAD	Feature	Pipe	Flow	Available
ID	Туре	Area	Area	Flow Area
		(ft ²)	(ft ²)	(ft ²)
#9	Downdrain Pipe 18"	1.76625	0.75	1.01625
#10	Downdrain Pipe 18"	1.76625	0.75	1.01625

SEDCAD #6

Largest Drainage Area = 3.0 acres

SEDCAD	Feature	Pipe	Flow	Available
ID	Туре	Area	Area	Flow Area
		(ft ²)	(ft ²)	(ft ²)
#10	Downdrain Pipe 12"	0.785	0.39	0.395
#11	Downdrain Pipe 12"	0.785	0.39	0.395

SEDCAD #8

Largest Drainage Area = 182.6 acres

SEDCAD	Feature	Channel	Flow	
ID	Type	Depth	Depth	Freeboard
		(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)
#9	West Sediment Basin	10	4.9	5.1

Appendix B: Modelling Results

Stormwater Runoff Calculations

ATC ASSOCIATES, INC.											
PROJECT	Mer	om Area	3 Landfill l	Permit Applic	ation	PROJE	CT NO.	,			
	Stor	Storm Water Runoff Calculations					1	OF			
	MADE BY	DS	DATE	11/09	CHECKED BY	DB	DATE	11/09	-		

STORM WATER RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

The erosion and stormwater control structures described in this section have been designed, as required, to limit soil erosion to less than 5 tons-per-acre-per-year and adequately convey the 25-year/24-hour storm event (5.2", IDNR 1994, attached). A 100-year/24-hour storm event (6.3", IDNR 1994, attached) was considered for the design of the sedimentation pond emergency overflow weir. Sedimentation rate estimates are included at the end of this section. Soil erosion estimates are attached in a separate calculations section.

Sub-drainage area flows were determined for the combination of the existing landfill and the proposed expansion area using the SEDCAD4 computer model developed by R.C. Warner of the University of Kentucky and P.J. Schwab of Civil Soft Design. The sub-drainage area flows are based on drainage area, elevation change across the drainage area, flow path length, and soil cover characteristics.

Side-slope diversion-berm channels, top-of-landfill diversion-berm channels, road culvert channels, and downdrain pipes were individually input into the SEDCAD4 computer models, using the worst-case conditions with the largest drainage areas, to show that these components could adequately convey their portions of a 25-year/24-hour storm. These worst-case models are discussed below:

- Top-of-landfill diversion-berm channels. At three locations, two diversion berms are paired to enter a single downdrain pipe. The first of the paired berms has a slope of about 5%, a v-shape with 3H:1V and 50H:1V sideslopes, and a length of up to 800 ft along the west edge of the 5%-sloped portion of the final cover. The second of the paired berms has a 1% slope, a v-shape with 3H:1V and 20H:1V sideslopes, and a length of about 200 ft (drains east to west) across the final cover. An attached SEDCAD4 output (#1) shows that the 5%-sloped berm conveys the 25-year/24-hour storm flow (4.5 cfs at a velocity of 1.2 fps and a flow depth of 0.4 feet from a maximum of 1.5 acres) and that the 1%-sloped berm conveys the 25-year/24-hour storm flow (4.5 cfs at a velocity of 0.8 fps and a flow depth of 0.7 feet at a maximum of 1.5 acres). Each pair of top-of-landfill channel enters one of two drop inlets which each consist of a debris guard over a minimum-18-inch-diameter, drop-inlet pipe that is drained into a downdrain pipe.
- Side-slope diversion-berm channels lie on the 4H:1V-sloped, grass-covered,

ATC ASSOCIATES, INC.									
PROJECT	Merom Area 3 Landfill Permit Application					PROJE	CT NO.		
	Stor	m Water	Runoff Cal	culations		PAGE	2	OF	
М	IADE BY	DS	DATE	11/09	CHECKED BY	DB	DATE	11/09	

final cover sideslopes. The 90-ft-horizontal spaces between channels are based on erosion control calculations provided in the "Final Cover Soil Loss Estimates" section of this major permit modification application. These channels have 2% slopes, are v-shaped with 4H:1V and 3H:1V sideslopes, and are up to 600 ft in length. An attached SEDCAD4 output (#2) shows that at a maximum 1.2 acres, this channel conveys a 25-year/24-hour storm flow of 3.7 cfs at a velocity of 1.2 fps and a flow depth of 0.9 feet. Each sideslope berm enters its own drop inlet which consists of a debris guard over a 12 to 18-inch-diameter, drop-inlet pipe that is drained into a downdrain pipe.

- Road culvert channels lie at the base of the 4H:1V-sloped, grass-covered, final cover sideslopes. These channels have 1% slopes with 12-ft-wide bottoms, 3H:1V sideslopes, and are up to 250 ft in length. The attached SEDCAD4 output (#3) shows that at a maximum 1.2 acres, each channel conveys a 25-year/24-hour storm flow of 3.6 cfs at a velocity of 0.8+ fps and a flow depth of 0.4 feet. Two of these 250-ft road culvert channels will drain to two drop inlets which consist of a debris guards over two 18-inch-diameter drop inlet pipes that are drained by a single 18-inch-diameter, 4.7%-minimum-sloped, road culvert pipe that drains into a sedimentation basin.
- Pairs of 18-inch-diameter downdrain pipes will be placed to serve drainage areas of 14 acres or less. Output #4 shows that a drainage area of 14 acres (7 acres per pipe) produces a 25-year/24-hour peak runoff of about 42 cfs (21 cfs per pipe). Along the west side of the landfill, each pair of 18-inch-diameter pipes will flow under the perimeter road (at a slope of 3.6%) and then drain into a 1%-sloped, 3H:1V-sidesloped, 12-ft-bottom channel that flows under the power-transmission lines with a maximum depth of flow of 1.0 ft and a maximum flow velocity of 2.7 fps. Output #5 shows that on a 4H:1V slope, each 18-inch-diameter pipe can convey 21 cfs at about one-half full. The locations of these 18-inch-pipe pairs are shown on the surface-water drainage plan.
- Pairs of 12-inch-diameter downdrain pipes will be placed to serve drainage areas of 6 acres or less. Output #6 shows that a drainage area of 6 acres (3 acres per pipe) produces a 25-year/24-hour peak runoff of about 18 cfs (9 cfs per pipe). Output #7 shows that on a 4H:1V slope, each 12-inch-diameter pipe can convey 9 cfs at about one-half full. The locations of these 12-inch-pipe pairs are shown on the surface-water drainage plan.

ATC ASSOCIATES, INC.									
PROJECT	^r Mer	om Area	a 3 Landfill I	Permit Applic	ation	PROJE	CCT NO.		
	Storm Water Runoff Calculations						3	OF	
	MADE BY	DS	DATE	11/09	CHECKED BY	DB	DATE -	11/09	

Design flows from the East, North, and West drainage areas are routed to the West Sedimentation Basin. Output #8 (25-year/24-hour event) is attached and shows that:

- The East's 47.7-acre drainage area's 25-year/24-hour storm-runoff flow of 142 cfs is carried, at a depth of about 2.9 feet and a velocity of 3.3 fps, by a 0.3%-sloped, 3H:1V sidesloped, 12-ft-bottom perimeter channel along the east and south side of the landfill. This channel flows under the power transmission lines and then encounters three 48-inch culverts that pass under the haul road. These pipes drain into a 0.3%-sloped, 4H:1V sideslope, 12-ft-bottom, channel with a velocity of 3.3 fps and a flow depth of 2.4 feet that in turn drains into the West Sedimentation Basin.
- The North's 37.9-acre drainage area's runoff flow of 112 cfs is carried, at a depth of about 1.8 feet and a velocity of 3.7 fps, by a 0.6%-sloped, 3H:1V sidesloped, 12-ft-bottom perimeter channel along the north side of the landfill before it is drained into the West Detention Basin.
- The West's 49.6-acre drainage area's runoff flow is carried under the haul road by previously-described road culverts and downdrain pipe. These pipes drain into several drainage channels, with 1%-slopes, 4H:1V sideslopes, and 12-ft-bottoms, that drain under the power-transmission lines into the West Sediment Basin.
- The West Sedimentation Basin uses a 24-inch diameter culvert with an invert at EL 504.0 as a principal spillway and a broad-crested weir with a 50-ft secondary-spillway crest at EL 508.0 The 25-year/24-hour storm flow shown in Output #8 reaches a maximum at EL 507.7 and drains out during a period greater than 24 hours. The flow from this culvert encounters a riprap-lined energy dissipater before entering a 50-ft-wide, 0.2%-sloped channels that flows a short distance to an existing drainage channel.

West Sedimentation Basin Emergency Overflow was modeled in Output #9 using the same structures and interconnections as the previously-described Output #8 model with the exception that the primary outlet for the West Sedimentation Basin has been assumed to be blocked so that only the emergency overflow can provide drainage. When a 100-year/24-hour rainfall event occurs, flow over the emergency spillway reaches a maximum at EL 510.0 (2 feet below the pond crest height of EL 512.0). Flow down the emergency

			A	ATC ASSO	CIATES, IN	C.			
PROJECT	Mer	om Area	3 Landfill	Permit Applic	ation	PROJE	CT NO.		
	Storm Water Runoff Calculations						4	OF	
М	MADE BY	DS	DATE	11/09	CHECKED BY	DB	DATE	11/09	_

overflow (20%-sloped, 5H:1V sidesloped, and a 50-ft-channel-bottom — all protected by a turf reinforcement mat or riprap) is estimated to have a 0.9-ft depth at 9.2 fps before encountering a riprap-lined energy dissipater and then entering a 50-ft-wide, 0.2%-sloped channel that flows a short distance to an existing drainage channel.

West Sedimentation Basin's sediment storage volume is determined by using a rate of 0.3 inches of sediment per year for an average drainage-area slope of 5% during a period of 3 years. The following equation will be used for the West sedimentation basin:

Sediment depth in basin = (drainage area) (0.3"/year) (3 years) / (basin area)


Sed. Basin sediment-storage depth = $(183 \text{ acres}) (0.3^{\circ}) (3) / (6 \text{ acres}) = 28 \text{ inches}$

Sed. Basin permanent pool @ EL 504 minus 28 inches = EL 501.7

ATC ASSOCIATES, INC.									
PROJECT	Mer	om Area	3 Landfill 1	Permit Applic	ation	PROJE	CT NO.		
Storm Water Runoff Calculations					PAGE	5	OF		
						·····			
М	ADE BY	DS	DATE	11/09	CHECKED BY	DB	DATE	11/09	

Documentation

RAINFALL - 25 YEAR FREQUENCY - 24 HOUR DURATION 4.30" 4.40" 4.50" 4.60" 4.30" 4.70" 4.40" 4.80" 4.50" 4.90" 4.60" 5.00" 4.70" 5.10" 4.80" Merom Generating 4.90" Station 5.20" 5.00" 5.30" 5.10" 5.40" 5.20" 5.30" 5.40" 5.50" 10 20 30 Miles 5.50" STATE OF INDIANA REFERENCE TECHNICAL PAPER NO. 40 DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE **DIVISION OF WATER** INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES



Sederal Durpert #1 Top-of-landfill diversion-bern channels with 25 year /24 hour flow

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.200 inches

Structure Networking:

Туре	Stru #	intal.	Stru #	Musk. K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description	
Channel	#2	==>	#8	0.000	0.000		
Pond	#8	==>	End	0.000	0.000		
Channel	#9	==>	#8	0.000	0.000		

Æ	#9
V	Chan'l
F	#2
	Chan'l
#8	
Pond	

Structure Summary:

		Immediate Contributing Co Area (ac)	Total ontributing Area (ac)	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#9		1.500	1.500	4.46	0.36
#2		1.500	1.500	4,46	0.36
	In	2.000	2.000	8.92	0.72
#8	0.000 Out		3.000	8.95	0.72

Structure Detail:

Structure #9 (Vegetated Channel)

Triangular Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Left Sideslope S Ratio	Right Sideslope Sl Ratio	ope (%)	etardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
3.0:1	20.0:1	1.0	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	4.46 cfs		4.46 cfs	
Depth:	0.68 ft		1.20 ft	
Top Width:	15.59 ft		27.69 ft	
Velocity:	0.84 fps		0.27 fps	
X-Section Area:	5.29 sq ft		16.67 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	0.338	77	0.600	
Froude Number:	0.26		0.06	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0855		0.3959	

Structure #2 (Vegetated Channel)

Triangular Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Left Sideslope Ratio	Right Sideslope SI Ratio	ope (%) Re	tardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
3.0:1	50.0:1	5.0	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability Stability Class D w/o Class D w/ Freeboard Freeboard	Capacity Capacity Class B w/o Class B w/ Freeboard Freeboard
Design Discharge:	4.46 cfs	4.46 cfs
Depth:	0.38 ft	0.69 ft
Top Width:	19.98 ft	36.41 ft
Velocity:	1.18 fps	0.36 fps

Filename: Merom top-of-slope berm 070109.sc4

Convright 1998 Pamels 1 Schwah

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
X-Section Area:	3.77 sq ft		12.51 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	0.188		0.343	
Froude Number:	0.48		0.11	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0922		0.4565	

Structure #8 (Pond)

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:	680.01
Initial Pool:	0.00 ac-ft

Drop Inlet

Riser Rise Diameter (in)	er Height (ft)	Barrel Diameter (in)	Barrel I ength (ft)	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev
16.00	2.00	16.00	22.00	25.00	0.0140	680.01

Drop Inlet

	Diameter	Height ft)	Barrel Diameter Le (in)	Barrel B ngth (ft)	larrel Slope (%)	Manning's n S	pillway Elev
ĺ	16.50	2.00	16.50	22.00	25.00	0.0120	680.01

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	680.49
Dewater Time:	0.50 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity I (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)
680.00	0.001	0.000	0.000	
600.04	0.004	0.000	0.000	Spillway #1
680.01	0.001	0.000	0.000	Spillway #2
680.49	0.011	0.003	8.948	12.00 Peak Stage
680.50	0.011	0.003	9.047	
681.00	0.030	0.012	13.803	
681.50	0.058	0.034	16.934	

Filename: Merom top-of-slope berm 070109.sc4

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
682.00	0.096	0.072	19.570		
682.50	0.144	0.132	21.891		
683.00	0.200	0.217	23.988		

Detailed Discharge Table

			Combined
Elevation [orop Inlet (cfs)	Drop Inlet (cfs)	Total Discharge
			(cfs)
680.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
680.01	0.000	0.000	0.000
680.50	4.454	4.593	9.047
681.00	6.689	7.114	13.803
681.50	8.206	8.727	16.934
682.00	9.484	10.086	19.570
682.50	10.609	11.282	21.891
683.00	11.625	12.363	23.988

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Stru #	SWS #	SWS Area (ac)	Time of Conc (hrs)	Musk K (hrs)	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#9	1	1.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	4.46	0.360
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Σ	1.500						4,46	0.360
#2	1	1.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	4.46	0.360
	Σ	1.500						4.46	0.360
#8	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	TR55	0.00	0.000
	Σ	3,000						8.92	0.721

Sedead Outpet #2 Side-Slope Diversion berm Channels with 25 year 24 hour flow

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.200 inches

Structure Networking:

Туре	Stru #	(flows into)	Stru #	Musk. K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description
Pond	#8	==>	End	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#9	==>	#8	0.000	0.000	

Æ	#9
4.5	Chan'l
#8	
Pond	

Structure Summary:

	Immediate Contributing Area (ac)	Total Contributing Area (ac)	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#9	1.230	1.230	3.66	0.30
	In a con	4 220	3.66	0.30
#8 O	0.000 ut	1.230	3.68	0.30

Structure Detail:

Structure #9 (Vegetated Channel)

Triangular Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Left Sideslope Ratio	Right Sideslope Slo Ratio	ope (%)	Retardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
3.0:1	4.0:1	1.0	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	3.66 cfs		3.66 cfs	
Depth:	0.92 ft		1.53 ft	40.5.0
Top Width:	6.45 ft		10.71 ft	
Velocity:	1.23 fps		0.45 fps	
X-Section Area:	2.97 sq ft		8.19 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	0.443		0.736	
Froude Number:	0.32		0.09	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0702		0.2716	

Structure #8 (Pond)

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:	680.01
Initial Pool:	0.00 ac-ft

Drop Inlet

Riser Riser Height (ft)		Barrel Diameter (in)	Barrel Length (ft)	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n Spillway Elev		
11.80	2.00	11.80	22.00	1.00	0.0140	680.01	

Drop Inlet

Conviotit 1998 Pamala I Schwah

Riser R Diameter (in)	liser Height (ft)	Barrel Diameter (in)	Barrel Length (ft)	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev
11.80	2.00	11.80	22.00	1.00	0.0120	680.01

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	680.36
Dewater Time:	0.50 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity I (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)
680.00	0.001	0.000	0.000	
680.01	0.001	0.000	0.000	Spillway #1 Spillway #2
680.36	0.002	0.001	3.685	12,00 Peak Stage
680.50	0.002	0.001	5.119	
681.00	0.004	0.003	7.277	
681.50	0.006	0.005	8.927	
682.00	0.009	0.009	10.317	
682.50	0.021	0.016	11.540	
683.00	0.037	0.030	12.646	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Detailed Discharge Table

			Combined
	Drop Inlet D	rop Inlet	Total
Elevation	(cfs)	(cfs) [Discharge
			(cfs)
680.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
680.01	0.000	0.000	0.000
680.50	2.560	2.560	5.119
681.00	3.638	3.638	7.277
681.50	4.464	4.464	8.927
682.00	5.158	5.158	10.317
682.50	5.770	5.770	11.540
683.00	6.323	6,323	12.646

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Stru #	SWS #	SWS Area (ac)	Time of Conc (hrs)	Musk K (hrs)	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#9	1	1.230	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	3.66	0.295
	Σ	1.230			,			3.66	0.295
#8	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	TR55	0.00	0.000
	Σ	1.230						3.66	0.295

Sel cad Output #3 Road Culvert and Channels with 25yr/24 hour flows

Filename: Merom road culvert 071209.sc4

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.200 inches

Structure Networking:

Туре	Stru #	(flows into)	Stru #		Musk. X	Description
Channel	#2	==>	#8	0.000	0.000	
Pond	#8	==>	End	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#9	==>	#8	0.000	0.000	

Æ	#9
. •	Chan'l
Æ	#2
	Chan'l
#8	
Pond	

Filename: Merom road culvert 071209.sc4

Printed 10-02-2009

Structure Summary:

			Total tributing Area (ac)	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#9		1.200	1.200	3.57	0.29
#2		1.200	1.200	3.57	0.29
	In	0.400	7.500	7.44	0.60
#8	#8 Out	0.100	2.500	7.27	0.60

Structure Detail:

Structure #9 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope S Ratio	Right ideslope Sl Ratio	one (%)	etardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (vxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	3.0:1	3.0:1	1.0	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
2000 - 100 -	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	3,57 cfs		3.57 cfs	
Depth:	0.35 ft		0.76 ft	
Top Width:	14.09 ft		16.59 ft	
Velocity:	0.78 fps		0.33 fps	
X-Section Area:	4.55 sq ft		10.94 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	0.320		0.649	73.
Froude Number:	0.24		0.07	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0889		0.3420	

Structure #2 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom S Width (ft)	Left ideslope S Ratio	Right Sideslope Sl Ratio	nna (VA)	etardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	3.0:1	3.0:1	1.0	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability	Stability	Capacity Capacit	У
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Class B Freeboard Freeboa	
Design Discharge:	3.57 cfs		3.57 cfs	415
Depth:	0.35 ft		0.76 ft	
Top Width:	14.09 ft		16.59 ft	
Velocity:	0.78 fps		0.33 fps	

Filename: Merom road culvert 071209.sc4

Conveight 1998 Pamela I Schwah

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
X-Section Area:	4.55 sq ft		10.94 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	0.320		0.649	
Froude Number:	0.24		0.07	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0889		0.3420	

Structure #8 (Pond)

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:	616.01
Initial Pool:	0.00 ac-ft

Drop Inlet

Riser Diameter (in)	Riser Height (ft)	Barrel Diameter (in)	Barrel Length (ft)	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev
16.50	2.00	16.50	75.00	1.00	0.0140	616.01

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	617.05
Dewater Time:	0.00 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)
616.00	0.001	0.000	0.000	
616.01	0.001	0.000	0.000	Spillway #1
616.50	0.005	0.001	4.593	
617.00	0.011	0.005	7.114	
617.05	0.013	0.006	7.267	0.05 Peak Stage
617.50	0.019	0,012	8.727	
618.00	0.030	0.025	10.086	
618.50	0.044	0.043	11.282	
619.00	0.060	0.069	12.363	
619.50	0.079	0.104	13.357	
620.00	0.100	0.148	14.282	

<u>Detailed Discharge Table</u>

Convidable	1008	Pamala	ı	Schwah

		Combined
.	Drop Inlet	Total
Elevation	(cfs)	Discharge
		(cfs)
616.00	0.000	0.000
616.01	0.000	0.000
616.50	4.593	4.593
617.00	7.114	7.114
617.50	8.727	8.727
618.00	10.086	10.086
618.50	11.282	11.282
619.00	12.363	12.363
619.50	13.357	13.357
620.00	14.282	14.282

Filename: Merom road culvert 071209.sc4

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Stru #	sws #	SWS Area	Time of Conc (hrs)	Musk K (hrs)	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#9	1	1.200	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	3.57	0.288
	Σ	1.200						3.57	0.288
#2	1	1.200	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	3.57	0.288
	Σ	1.200		M 100 Van 11				3.57	0.288
#8	1	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	0.30	0.024
	Σ	2.500						7.44	0.600

Output #4
18-inch-diameter
downdrain pipes
with 25yr/24hr
flow.

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.200 inches

Structure Networking:

Туре	Stru #	(flows into)		Musk. K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description
Null	#9	==>	#11	0.000	0.000	
Null	#10	==>	#11	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#11	==>	End	0.000	0.000	

Æ	#10
4	Null
Æ	#9
₹,	Null
#11	
Chan'l	

Structure Summary:

	Immediate Contributing Co Area (ac)	Total intributing Area (ac)	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#10	7.000	7.000	20.82	1.68
#9	7.000	7.000	20.82	1.68
#11	0.000	14.000	41.64	3.36

Structure Detail:

Structure #10 (Null)

Structure #9 (Null)

Structure #11 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope S Ratio	Right ideslope Slo Ratio	ope (%)	etardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	4.0:1	4.0:1	1.0	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability Stability Class D w/o Class D w/ Freeboard Freeboard	Capacity Capacity Class B w/o Class B w/ Freeboard Freeboard
Design Discharge:	41.64 cfs	41.64 cfs
Depth:	0.97 ft	1.59 ft
Top Width:	19.79 ft	24.75 ft
Velocity:	2.69 fps	1.42 fps
X-Section Area:	15.48 sq ft	29.29 sq ft
Hydraulic Radius:	0.773	1.165
Froude Number:	0.54	0.23
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0466	0.1159

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Stru #	SWS #	SWS Area	Time of Conc (hrs)	Musk K (hrs)	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#10	1	7.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	20.82	1.681
	Σ	7.000						20.82	1.681
#9	1	7.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	20.82	1.681
	Σ	7.000						20.82	1.681
#11	Σ	14.000	···					41.64	3.363

CIRCULAR CHANNEL ANALYSIS NORMAL DEPTH COMPUTATION

Flow Rate (cubic feet per second) 21 .25 Channel Bottom Slope (feet per foot)

Manning's Roughness Coefficient (n-value) 1.38

Channel Diameter (feet)

*** RESULTS ***

NORMAL DEPTH (FEET) Flow Velocity (feet per second)

6.728 Froude Number 12.26 Velocity Head (feet)

12.95 Energy Head (feet) Cross-Sectional Area of Flow (square feet)

1.38 Top Width of Flow (feet)

Output #5
18-inch pipe

Hazen-Williams friction loss equation is valid for water at temperatures typical of city water supply systems (40 to 75 $^{
m OF}$; 4 to 25 °C).

0.013

0.69

0.75

28.10

To: LMNO Engineering home page Trouble printing? Design of Circular Water Pipes Calculator Table of Hazen-Williams Coefficients (C) Unit Conversions

 $V = k C R_h^{0.63} S^{0.54}$ where $S = \frac{h_f}{1}$ & Q = VA & $R_h = \frac{D}{A}$ for circular pipe

@ 1998 LMINO Engineering, Research, Click to Calculate and Software, Ltd. Select Units: Calculate: C Discharge and Velocity C Use feel and seconds units C Pipe Diameter (Q known) C Use meters and seconds units C Pipe Diameter (V known) k = 1.318 @ Energy (Head) Loss (Q known) Discharge, Q (fl3/s): 18.051600350687565 Velocity, V (ft/s): C Energy (Head) Loss (V known) 1.38 C Pipe Length (Q known) Pipe Diameter, D (ft): Pipe Length, L (fl): 100.0 C Pipe Length (V known) C Hazen-Williams Coefficient (Q kncHazen Williams Coefficient, C: 140 C: Hazen-Williams Coefficient (V kno Energy (Head) Loss, hf (ft): 4.67428564384096 Energy Slope, S (ft/ft):

k is a unit conversion factor. k=1.318 for English units (feet and seconds). k=0.85 for SI units (meters and seconds) R =hydraulic radius=D/4 for circular pipe

The Hazen-Williams method is only valid for water flowing at ordinary temperatures (about 40 to 75 °F). For other liquids or gases, the Darcy-Weisbach method should be used. Major loss (he) is the energy (or head) loss (expressed in length units - think of it as energy per unit weight of fluid) due to friction between the moving fluid and the duct. It is also known as friction loss. The Darcy-Weisbach method is generally considered more accurate than the Hazen-Wilhams method. However, the Hazen-Williams method is very popular, especially among civil engineers, since its friction coefficient (C) is not a function of velocity or duct diameter. Hazen-Williams is simpler than Darcy-Weisbach for calculations where you are solving for flowrate, velocity, or diameter. More Discussion and References.

Dutput #6
12-inch doundmin
pipes with
25 year/24 hour
flow

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.200 inches

Structure Networking:

Туре	Stru #	(flows into)	Stru #	Musk. K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description
Channel	#9	==>	End	0.000	0.000	
Null	#10	==>	#9	0.000	0.000	
Null	#11	==>	#9	0.000	0.000	

❖	#11
	Null
₹.	#10
₹,	Null
#9	
Chan'l	

Structure Summary:

	Immediate Contributing Co Area (ac)	Total ntributing C Area (ac)	hicchardo	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#11	3.000	3,000	8.92	0.72
#10	3.000	3.000	8.92	0.72
#9	0.000	6.000	17.85	1,44

Structure #11 (Null)

Structure #10 (Null)

Structure #9 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Structure Detail:

Bottom S Width (ft)	Left ideslope S Ratio	Right Sideslope SI Ratio	ope (%)	tardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	4.0:1	4.0:1	2.0	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability Stabi Class D w/o Class I Freeboard Freeb	D w/ Class B w/o Class B	w/
Design Discharge:	17.85 cfs	17.85 cfs	
Depth:	0.56 ft	1.00 ft	
Top Width:	16.46 ft	20.01 ft	
Velocity:	2.25 fps	1.11 fps	
X-Section Area:	7.92 sq ft	16.02 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	0.478	0.791	
Froude Number:	0.57	0.22	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0570	0.1616	

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Stru #	SWS #	SWS Area (ac)	Time of Conc (hrs)	Musk K (hrs)	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#11	1	3.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	8.92	0.721
	Σ	3.000						8.92	0.721
#10	1	3.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	8.92	0.721
	Σ	3.000						8.92	0.721
#9	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	TR55	0.00	0.000
	Σ	6.000						17.85	1.441

CIRCULAR CHANNEL ANALYSIS NORMAL DEPTH COMPUTATION

Channel Bottom Slope (feet per foot)	.25
Manning's Roughness Coefficient (n-value)	0.013
Channel Diameter (feet)	98
*** RESULTS ***	
NORMAL DEPTH (FEET)	0.51
Flow Velocity (feet per second)	22.84

Flow Velocity (feet per second)

Froude Number

Velocity Head (feet)

Energy Head (feet)

Cross-Sectional Area of Flow (square feet)

22.84

8.346

8.61

8.61

Cross-Sectional Area of Flow (square feet) 0.39
Top Width of Flow (feet) 0.98

Output #7

12-inch pipe

one-half full

at 25yr/24 hour

flow and

full flow

at 100yr/24 hr

tlow

G·O·图图的尸文图图·马西·口说。

Flow Rate (cubic feet per second)

Major Loss Calculation for Water in Pipes using Hazen-Williams Friction Loss Equation

Hazen-Williams friction loss equation is valid for water at temperatures typical of city water supply systems (40 to 75 $^{
m o}$ F; 4 to 25 $^{
m o}$ C).

To: LMNO Engineering home page Trouble printing?

Design of Circular Water Pipes Calculator Table of Hazen-Williams Coefficients (C) Unit Conversions

 $V = k C R_h^{0.65} S^{0.54}$ where $S = \frac{h_f}{\tau}$ & Q = VA & $R_h = \frac{D}{4}$ for circular pipe

Click to Calculate Calculate:	Select Units:	and Software, Ltd.
C Discharge and Velocity	© Use feet and seconds units	
O Pipe Diameter (Q known)	O Use meters and seconds units	
C Pipe Diameter (V known)		k = 1.318
@ Energy (Head) Loss (Q known)	Discharge, Q (fi ² /s):	12
C Energy (Head) Loss (V known)	Velocity, V (ft/s):	15,90886561518321
C Pipe Length (Q known)	Pipe Diameter, D (ft):	.98
C Pipe Length (V known)	Pipe Length, L (ft):	100.0
C Hazen-Williams Coefficient (Q ki	rcHazen Williams Coefficient, C:	14D
C Hazen-Williams Coefficient (V kr	oEnergy (Head) Loss, hf (ft):	5.51468383709598
	Energy Slope, S (fl/ft):	0.0551460303709598

k is a unit conversion factor: k=1.318 for English units (feet and seconds). k=0.85 for SI units (meters and seconds) R_h=hydraulic radius=D/4 for circular pipe

The Hazen-Williams method is only valid for water flowing at ordinary temperatures (about 40 to 75 °F). For other liquids or gases, the Darcy-Weisbach method

Convight 1998 Pamela I Schwah

Output #8
25 year /24 hour
Flow into West
Sidiment Pond.

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II
Design Storm:	25 yr - 24 hr
Rainfall Depth:	5.300 inches

Filename: Merom3 102709 178ac 25yr.sc4

Printed 10-27-2009

Structure Networking:

Туре	Stru #	(flows into)	Stru #	Musk. K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description			
Null	#1	==>	#2	0.000	0.000				
Pond	#2	==>	#4	0.000	0.000				
Channel	#4	==>	End	0.000	0.000				
Channel	#5	==>	#2	0.000	0.000				
Pond	#6	==>	#8	0.000	0.000				
Channel	#7	==>	#6	0.000	0.000				
Channel	#8	==>	#2	0.000	0.000				

			Œ	#7
			~	Chan'l
		₽	#6	-
		₹\$	Pond	
	F	#8		
	₹,	Chan'l		
****	F	#5		
	Q.	Chan'l		
	7.3	#1		
	₹,	Null		
43	#2			
1	Pond			
#4				
Chan'l				

Structure Summary:

		Immediate Contributing Co Area (ac)	Total ontributing Area (ac)	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Total Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#7		47.700	47.700	145.71	11.80
	In		=4.000	167.70	13.58
#6	Out	7.200	54.900	165.28	13.58
#8		5.000	59.900	180.55	14.82
#5	2000	37.800	37.800	115.47	9.35
#1		49.600	49.600	151.51	12.27
	In		402.600	555.37	45.17
#2	Out	35.300	182.600	20.39	38.65
#4	~	1,000	183.600	40.90	45.41

Structure Detail:

Structure #7 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope S Ratio	Right ideslope S Ratio	Inne (%)	tardance Classes	FIEEDUALUS FIEEDUALU	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	3.0:1	3.0:1	0.3	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability Stability Class D w/o Class D w/ Freeboard Freeboard	Capacity Capacity Class B w/o Class B w/ Freeboard Freeboard
Design Discharge:	145.71 cfs	145.71 cfs
Depth:	2.46 ft	3.50 ft
Top Width:	26.75 ft	33.03 ft
Velocity:	3.06 fps	1.85 fps
X-Section Area:	47.62 sq ft	78.91 sq ft
Hydraulic Radius:	1.729	2.310
Froude Number:	0.40	0.21
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0351	0.0705

Structure #6 (Pond)

Pond Inputs:

	Initial Pool Elev:	510.01
,	Initial Pool:	0.10 ac-ft

Straight Pipe

Barrel Diameter (in)	Barrel Length (ft)	Barrel lope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev		ilwater Depth (ft)
43.00	200.00	1.00	0.0120	509.01	0.90	3.40

Straight Pipe

Convert 1998 Pamela I Schuish

Barrel Diameter (in)	Length	Barrel ope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev	Entrance Loss Coefficient	Tailwater Depth (ft)
43.00	200.00	1.00	0.0120	509.01	0.90	3.40

Straight Pipe

Barrel Diameter (in)	Barrel Length (ft)	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev	Entrance T Loss Coefficient	ailwater Depth (ft)
43.00	200.00	1.00	0.0120	509.01	0.90	3.40

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	512.78
 Dewater Time:	0.50 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity [(ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)
509.00	0.100	0.000	0.000	
509.01	0.100	0.001	0.000	Spillway #1 Spillway #2 Spillway #3
509.50	0.100	0.050	0.000	
510.00	0.100	0.100	0.000	
510.01	0.100	0.101	0.000	
510.50	0.109	0.152	40.939	11.95
511.00	0.118	0.209	63.203	
511.50	0.128	0.271	88.456	
512.00	0.138	0.337	116.377	
512.50	0.149	0.409	146.757	
512.78	0.155	0.453	165.281	0.05 Peak Stage
513.00	0.159	0.486	179.401	
513.50	0.171	0.568	208.015	
514.00	0.182	0.657	233.574	
514.50	0.194	0.751	256.714	
515.00	0.207	0.851	277.873	
515.01	0.207	0.853	278.283	
515.50	0.230	0.960	297.624	

Filename: Merom3 102709 178ac 25yr.sc4

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)			
516.00	0.255	1.082	316.065		 		
516.50	0.281	1.216	333.477		 		
517.00	0.309	1.363	350.043		 ы.		
517.50	0.337	1.524	365.867			AIF.	
518.00	0.367	1.701	381.035	477	 		
518.50	0.399	1.892	395.623		 		
519.00	0.431	2.099	409.694		 		
519.50	0.465	2.323	423.303		 		
520.00	0.500	2.565	436.496				

Detailed Discharge Table

Elevation	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Combined Total
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	Discharge (cfs)
509.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
509.01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
509.50	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
510.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
510.01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
510.50	(3)>13.646	(3)>13.646	(3)>13.646	40.939
511.00	(3)>21.068	(3)>21.068	(3)>21.068	63.203
511.50	(3)>29.485	(3)>29.485	(3)>29.485	88.456
512.00	(3)>38.792	(3)>38.792	(3)>38.792	116.377
512.50	(3)>48.919	(3)>48.919	(3)>48.919	146.757
513.00	(3)>59.800	(3)>59.800	(3)>59.800	179.401
513.50	(5)>69.338	(5)>69.338	(5)>69.338	208.015
514.00	(5)>77.858	(5)>77.858	(5)>77.858	233.574
514.50	(5)>85.571	(5)>85.571	(5)>85.571	256.714
515.00	(5)>92.624	(5)>92.624	(5)>92.624	277,873
515.01	(5)>92.761	(5)>92.761	(5)>92.761	278.283
515.50	(5)>99.208	(5)>99.208	(5)>99.208	297.624
516.00	(5)>105.355	(5)>105.355	(5)>105.355	316.065
516.50	(5)>111.159	(5)>111.159	(5)>111.159	333.477
517.00	(5)>116.681	(5)>116.681	(5)>116.681	350.043
517.50	(5)>121.956	(5)>121.956	(5)>121.956	365.867
518.00	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	381.035
518.50	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	395.623
519.00	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	409.694
519.50	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	423.303

Filename: Merom3 102709 178ac 25yr.sc4

Conwight 1998 Pamela 1 Schwah

	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Combined Total
Elevation	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	Discharge
Taker to the				(cfs)
520.00	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	(5)>0.000	436.496

Structure #8 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope Si Ratio	Right ideslope Ratio	Slope (%)	Retardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	4.0:1	4.0:1	0.3	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	180.55 cfs		180.55 cfs	
Depth:	2.48 ft		3.47 ft	
Top Width:	31.80 ft		39.78 ft	
Velocity:	3.33 fps		2.01 fps	
X-Section Area:	54.20 sq ft		89.88 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	1.672	2 000-1	2.212	
Froude Number:	0.45		0.24	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0345		0.0690	

Structure #5 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope Ratio	Right Sideslope Ratio	Slope (%)	letardance Classes	Freeboard Depth (ft)	Freeboard Freeboard. % of Depth Mult. x (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	3.0:1	3.0:1	0.6	D, B			5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

Printed 10-27-2009

Convint 1008 Pamala I Schwah

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	115.47 cfs		115.47 cfs	
Depth:	1.76 ft		2.55 ft	
Top Width:	22.58 ft		27.32 ft	
Velocity:	3.79 fps		2.30 fps	
X-Section Area:	30.50 sq ft		50.22 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	1.317		1.784	
Froude Number:	0.57		0.30	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0357		0.0719	

Structure #1 (Null)

Structure #2 (Pond)

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:	504.00
Initial Pool:	13.49 ac-ft

Straight Pipe

	Barrel .ength (ft)	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev		ailwater Depth (ft)
22.00	50.00	1.00	0.0120	504.00	0.90	0.00

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	507.74	
 Dewater Time:	3.15 days	

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity E (ac-ft)	ischarge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)
502.00	6.300	0.000	0.000	
502.50	6.519	3,205	0.000	
503.00	6.742	6.520	0.000	
503.50	6.969	9.948	0.000	
504.00	7.200	13.490	0.000	Spillway #1
504.50	7.418	17.144	1.365	32.40*
505.00	7.639	20.908	3.841	11.86*

Filename: Merom3 102709 178ac 25yr.sc4

Conviolit 1999	clame¶ (1	Schwah
----------------	----------	---	--------

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)		
505.50	7.863	24.783	7.058	8.85		Aug - 1770
506.00	8.090	28.771	10.860	5.50		
506.50	8.308	32.871	14.260	3.95		
507.00	8.529	37.080	17.005	3.30		
507.50	8,753	41.400	19.368	4.75		
507.74	8.863	43.542	20.388	4.90	Peak Stage	
508.00	8.980	45.834	21.479	1.0		
508.50	9.199	50.378	23,401			
509.00	9.420	55.033	25.180			
509.50	9.644	59.798	26.845			
510.00	9.870	64.677	28.408			
510.50	10.099	69.669	29.878			
511.00	10.330	74.776	31.288			
511.50	10.564	79,999	32.650		.	
512.00	10.800	85.340	33.933			

^{*}Designates time(s) to dewater have been extrapolated beyond the 50 hour hydrograph limit.

Detailed Discharge Table

		Combined
Flaudica	Straight Pipe	Total
Elevation	(cfs)	Discharge
		(cfs)
502.00	0.000	0.000
502.50	0.000	0.000
503.00	0.000	0.000
503.50	0.000	0.000
504.00	0.000	0.000
504.50	(3)>1.365	1.365
505.00	(3)>3.841	3.841
505.50	(3)>7.058	7.058
506.00	(3)>10.860	10.860
506.50	(5)>14.260	14.260
507.00	(5)>17.005	17.005
507.50	(5)>19.368	19.368
508.00	(5)>21.479	21.479
508.50	(5)>23.401	23.401
509.00	(5)>25.180	25.180
509.50	(5)>26.845	26.845
510.00	(5)>28.408	28.408
510.50	(5)>29.878	29.878

Filename: Merom3 102709 178ac 25yr.sc4

Conveight 1008 Pamala I Schwah

		Combined
F1	Straight Pipe	Total
Elevation	(cfs)	Discharge
		(cfs)
511.00	(5)>31.288	31.288
511.50	(5)>32.650	32.650
512.00	(5)>33.933	33.933

Structure #4 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Si Width (ft)	Left deslope S Ratio	Right Sideslope SI Ratio	one (%)	etardance Classes	Freeboard Freeboard Freeboard Depth (ft) % of Depth (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
50.00	5.0:1	5.0:1	0.2	D, B		5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability Stability Class D w/o Class D w/ Freeboard Freeboard	Capacity Capacity Class B w/o Class B w/ Freeboard Freeboard
Design Discharge:	40.90 cfs	40.90 cfs
Depth:	0.86 ft	1.63 ft
Top Width:	58.59 ft	66.31 ft
Velocity:	0.88 fps	0.43 fps
X-Section Area:	46.64 sq ft	94.85 sq ft
Hydraulic Radius:	0.794	1.423
Froude Number:	0.17	0.06
Roughness Coefficient:	0,0651	0.1955

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Stru	sws	SWS Area	Time of Conc	Musk K	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge	Runoff Volume
#	#	(ac)	(hrs)	(hrs)		Manage		(cfs)	(ac-ft)
#7	1	47.700	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	145.71	11.798
	Σ	47.700						145.71	11.798
#6		7,200	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	21.99	1.781
	Σ	54.900						167.70	13.579
#8		5.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	15.27	1.237
	Σ	59.900				-		180.55	14.816
#5	$\frac{2}{1}$	37.800	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	115.47	9.350
#7		100			*.			115.47	9.350
	Σ	37.800				70.000	TR55	151.51	12,268
#1	1	49.600	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	CCAI		
	\sum	49.600						151.51	12.268
#2		35.300	0,000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	107.83	8.731
	Σ	182,600						555.37	45.166
#4		1,000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	3.05	0.247
	$\frac{1}{\Sigma}$	183.600						40.90	45.413

Ontpert #9 100 year / 24 hour Flow into West Sediment Fond.

General Information

Storm Information:

Storm Type:	NRCS Type II		
Design Storm:	100 yr - 24 hr		
Rainfall Depth:	6.300 inches		

Structure Networking:

Туре	Stru #	(flows into)	Stru #	Musk, K (hrs)	Musk. X	Description
Null	#1	==>	#2	0.000	0.000	
Pond	#2	==>	#9	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#4	==>	End	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#5	==>	#2	0.000	0.000	
Pond	#6	==>	#8	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#7	==>	#6	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#8	==>	#2	0.000	0.000	
Channel	#9	==>	#4	0.000	0.000	

				Æ	#7
				4,5	Chan'l
			⟨Fª	#6	
			· ·	Pond	
		F	#8		
		4,5	Chan'l		,
			#5		
		₹.	Chan'l		
		F	#1		
		₹	Null		
	F	#2			
	45.	Pond			ne
	#9				
❖	Chan'l				
#4					
Chan'l					

Structure Summary:

		Immediate Contributing Area	Total Contributing Area	Peak Discharge	Total Runoff Volume
		(ac)	(ac)	(cfs)	(ac-ft)
#7		47.700	47.700	184.23	15.28
	In			212.04	17.59
#6	Out	7.200	54.900	208.85	17.59
#8		5.000	59.900	228,17	19.19
#5		37.800	37.800	146.00	12.11
#1		49.600	49.600	191.57	15.89
	In		102.600	702.08	58.51
#2	Out	35.300	182.600	451.46	58.47
#9		0.100	182.700	451.53	58.54
#4		1.000	183.700	453.01	58.86

Structure Detail:

Structure #7 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope Ratio	Right Sideslope Ratio	Slope (%)	Retardance Classes	Freeboard Depth (ft)	Freeboard % of Depth	Freeboard Mult. x (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	3.0:1	3.0:1	0.3	D, B				5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

- 11	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity		
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard		
Design Discharge:	184.23 cfs		184.23 cfs			
Depth:	Depth: 2.70 ft			3.74 ft		
Top Width:	28.18 ft		34.47 ft			
Velocity:	3.40 fps	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2.12 fps			
X-Section Area:	54.16 sq ft		87.01 sq ft			
Hydraulic Radius:	ic Radius: 1.864		2.438			
Froude Number:	0.43	0.43				
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0332		0.0637			

Structure #6 (Pond)

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:	510.01
Initial Pool:	0.10 ac-ft

Straight Pipe

Barrel Diameter (in)	Barrel Length (ft)	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev	Entrance Loss Coefficient	Tailwater Depth (ft)
43.00	200.00	1.00	0.0120	509.01	0.90	3.40

Straight Pipe

Contright 1009 Damala I Schulch

Barrel Diameter	Barrel Length	Barrel	Manning's	Spillway Elev	Entrance Loss	Tailwater Depth
(in)	(ft)	Slope (%)	[]	Elev	Coefficient	(ft)
43.00	200.00	1.00	0.0120	509.01	0.90	3.40

Straight Pipe

Barrel Diameter	Barrel Length	Barrel Slope (%)	Manning's n	Spillway Elev	Entrance Loss Coefficient	Tailwater Depth (ft)
(in) 43.00	(ft) 200.00	1.00	0.0120	509.01	0.90	3.40

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	513.52
 Dewater Time:	0.50 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
509.00	0.100	0.000	0.000		
509.01	0.100	0.001	0.000		Spillway #1 Spillway #2 Spillway #3
509.50	0.100	0.050	0.000	A STATE	2 and 1 1
510.00	0.100	0.100	0.000		
510.01	0.100	0.101	0.000		
510.50	0.109	0.152	40.939	11.50	A. T. C. T.
511.00	0.118	0.209	63.203	0.45	
511.50	0.128	0.271	88.456		A ANY (C')
512.00	0.138	0.337	116.377	.e	And the second s
512.50	0.149	0.409	146.757		
513.00	0.159	0.486	179.401		
513.50	0.171	0.568	208.015		
513.52	0.171	0.571	208.854	0.05	Peak Stage
514.00	0.182	0.657	233.574		
514.50	0.194	0.751	256.714		
515.00	0.207	0.851	277.873		
515.01	0.207	0.853	278.283		
515.50	0.230	0,960	297.624		

Filename: Merom3 100209 178ac 100yr.sc4

Printed 10-02-2009

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
516.00	0.255	1.082	316.065		
516.50	0.281	1.216	333.477		
517.00	0.309	1.363	350.043		
517.50	0.337	1.524	365.867		
518.00	0.367	1.701	381.035		
518.50	0.399	1.892	395.623		
519.00	0.431	2.099	409.694		
519.50	0.465	2.323	423,303		
520.00	0.500	2.565	436.496		

Detailed Discharge Table

			*	Combined
 Elevation	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Total
Elevation	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	Discharge
				(cfs)
509.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
509.01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
509.50	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
510.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
510.01	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
510.50	(3)>13.646	(3)>13.646	(3)>13.646	40.939
511.00	(3)>21.068	(3)>21.068	(3)>21.068	63.203
511.50	(3)>29.485	(3)>29.485	(3)>29.485	88.456
512.00	(3)>38.792	(3)>38.792	(3)>38.792	116.377
512.50	(3)>48.919	(3)>48.919	(3)>48.919	146.757
513.00	(3)>59.800	(3)>59.800	(3)>59.800	179.401
513.50	(5)>69.338	(5)>69.338	(5)>69.338	208.015
514.00	(5)>77.858	(5)>77.858	(5)>77.858	233.574
514.50	(5)>85.571	(5)>85.571	(5)>85.571	256.714
515.00	(5)>92.624	(5)>92.624	(5)>92.624	277.873
515.01	(5)>92.761	(5)>92.761	(5)>92.761	278.283
515.50	(5)>99.208	(5)>99.208	(5)>99.208	297.624
516.00	(5)>105.355	(5)>105.355	(5)>105.355	316.065
516.50	(5)>111.159	(5)>111.159	(5)>111.159	333.477
517.00	(5)>116.681	(5)>116.681	(5)>116.681	350.043
517.50	(5)>121.956	(5)>121.956	(5)>121.956	365.867
518.00	(5)>127.012	(5)>127.012	(5)>127.012	381.035
518.50	(5)>131.874	(5)>131.874	(5)>131.874	395.623
519.00	(5)>136.565	(5)>136.565	(5)>136.565	409.694
519.50	(5)>141.101	(5)>141.101	(5)>141.101	423.303

Convidit 1008 Pamala I Schwah

				Combined
	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Straight Pipe	Total
Elevation	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	Discharge
·				(cfs)
520.00	(5)>145.499	(5)>145.499	(5)>145.499	436.496

Structure #8 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope Ratio	Right Sideslope Ratio	Slope (%)	Retardance Classes	Freeboard Depth (ft)	Freeboard % of Depth	Freeboard Mult. x (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	4.0:1	4.0:1	0.3	D, B				5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	228.17 cfs		228.17 cfs	
Depth:	2.71 ft		3.70 ft	
Top Width:	33.66 ft		41.62 ft	
Velocity:	3.69 fps		2.30 fps	
X-Section Area:	61.79 sq ft		99.28 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	1.800		2,334	
Froude Number:	0.48		0.26	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0327		0.0625	

Structure #5 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope Ratio	Right Sideslope Ratio	Slope (%)	Retardance Classes	Freeboard Depth (ft)	Freeboard % of Depth	Freeboard Mult. x (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
12.00	3.0:1	3.0:1	0.6	D, B				5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

Convidit 1998 Pamala I Schwah

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	146.00 cfs		146.00 cfs	
Depth:	1.94 ft		2.73 ft	
Top Width:	23.64 ft		28.40 ft	
Velocity:	4.22 fps		2.64 fps	
X-Section Area:	34.57 sq ft		55.23 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	1,424		1.886	
Froude Number:	0.62		0.33	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0337		0.0649	

Structure #1 (Null)

Structure #2 (Pond)

Pond Inputs:

Initial Pool Elev:	508.00
 Initial Pool:	45.83 ac-ft

Emergency Spillway

Spillway Elev	Crest Length	Left	Right	Bottom
	(ft)	Sideslope	Sideslope	Width (ft)
508.00	12.00	5.00:1	5.00:1	50.00

Pond Results:

Peak Elevation:	510.01
 Dewater Time:	1.61 days

Dewatering time is calculated from peak stage to lowest spillway

Elevation-Capacity-Discharge Table

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)		
502.00	6.300	0.000	0.000		 	
502.50	6.519	3.205	0.000		 	
503.00	6.742	6.520	0.000		 	
503.50	6.969	9.948	0.000		 	
504.00	7.200	13.490	0.000		 	
504.50	7.418	17.144	0.000		 	
505.00	7,639	20.908	0.000		 	
505.50	7.863	24.783	0.000		 	

Filename: Merom3 100209 178ac 100yr.sc4

Printed 10-02-2009

Elevation	Area (ac)	Capacity (ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Dewater Time (hrs)	
506.00	8.090	28.771	0.000		
506.50	8.308	32.871	0.000		
507,00	8.529	37.080	0.000	4	
507.50	8.753	41.400	0.000		
508.00	8.980	45.834	0.000		Spillway #1
508.01	8.984	45.924	0.201	5.41*	
508.10	9,024	46.734	2.011	12.45	W W / Throught Warring and
508.50	9.199	50.378	10.053	8.85	
509.00	9.420	55.033	132,185	11.15	
509.50	9.644	59.799	273.717	0.60	
510.00	9.870	64.677	448,894	377-7710	
510.01	9.874	64.738	451.459	0.25	Peak Stage
510.50	10.099	69.669	658.992		
511.00	10.330	74.776	903.443		
511.50	10.564	79.999	1,182.174		
512.00	10.800	85.340	1,495.392		

Detailed Discharge Table

Elevation	Emergency Spillway (cfs)	Combined Total Discharge
		(cfs)
502.00	0.000	0.000
502.50	0.000	0.000
503.00	0.000	0.000
503.50	0.000	0.000
504.00	0.000	0.000
504.50	0.000	0.000
505.00	0.000	0.000
505.50	0.000	0.000
506.00	0.000	0.000
506.50	0.000	0.000
507.00	0.000	0.000
507.50	0.000	0.000
508.00	0.000	0.000
508.01	0.201	0.201
508.10	2.011	2.011
508.50	10.053	10.053
509.00	132.185	132.185
509.50	273.717	273.717

Filename: Merom3 100209 178ac 100yr.sc4

		Combined		
Elevation	Emergency	Total		
	Spillway (cfs)	Discharge		
		(cfs)		
510.00	448.894	448.894		
510.50	658.992	658.992		
511,00	903.443	903.443		
511.50	1,182.174	1,182.174		
512.00	1,495.392	1,495.392		

Structure #9 (Riprap Channel)

Trapezoidal Riprap Channel Inputs:

Material: Riprap

Bottom Width (ft)}	Left Sideslope ! Ratio	Right Sideslope Ratio	Slope (%)	Freeboard Depth (ft)	Freeboard % of Depth	Freeboard Mult. x (VxD)
50.00	5.0:1	5.0:1	20.0			

Riprap Channel Results:

PADER Method - Steep Slope Design

	w/o Freeboard	w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	451.53 cfs	
Depth:	0.90 ft	
Top Width:	59.01 ft	
Velocity:	9.19 fps	
X-Section Area:	49.11 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	0.830	
Froude Number:	1.78	
Manning's n:	0.0640	
Dmin:	5.00 in	
D50:	9.00 in	
Dmax;	12.00 in	

Structure #4 (Vegetated Channel)

Trapezoidal Vegetated Channel Inputs:

Material: Grass mixture

Filename: Merom3 100209 178ac 100yr.sc4

Bottom Width (ft)	Left Sideslope Ratio	Right Sideslope Ratio	Slope (%)	Retardance Classes	Freeboard Depth (ft)	Freeboard % of Depth	Freeboard Mult. x (VxD)	Limiting Velocity (fps)
50.00	5.0:1	5.0:1	0.2	D, B				5.0

Vegetated Channel Results:

	Stability	Stability	Capacity	Capacity
	Class D w/o Freeboard	Class D w/ Freeboard	Class B w/o Freeboard	Class B w/ Freeboard
Design Discharge:	453.01 cfs		453.01 cfs	
Depth:	2.35 ft		3.33 ft	
Top Width:	73.53 ft		83.26 ft	
Velocity:	3.12 fps		2.04 fps	
X-Section Area:	145.33 sq ft	MALE ELIGINA	221.61 sq ft	
Hydraulic Radius:	1.964		2.641	
Froude Number:	0.39		0.22	
Roughness Coefficient:	0.0335		0.0623	***************************************

Filename: Merom3 100209 178ac 100yr.sc4

Printed 10-02-2009

Subwatershed Hydrology Detail:

Stru #	SWS #	SWS Area (ac)	Time of Conc (hrs)	Musk K (hrs)	Musk X	Curve Number	UHS	Peak Discharge (cfs)	Runoff Volume (ac-ft)
#7	1	47.700	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	184.23	15.284
1170	Σ	47.700	4-1-1					184.23	15.284
#6	1	7.200	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	27.81	2.307
	Σ	54.900		-741			•	212.04	17.591
#8	1	5.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	19.31	1.602
	Σ	59.900	Market 1 m		A	01/4277		228.17	19.194
#5	1	37.800	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	146.00	12.112
	Σ	37.800				,		146.00	12.112
#1	1	49.600	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	191.57	15.893
	Σ	49.600						191.57	15.893
#2	1	35.300	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	136.34	11.311
	Σ	182,600	11347					702.08	58.510
#9	1	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	0.39	0.032
	Σ	182.700						451.53	58.542
#4	1	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	78.000	TR55	3.86	0.320
	Σ	183.700						453.01	58.863

Appendix C: References and Plan Sheets

Section 1:	SCS Curve Number Method
Section 2:	FEMA Floodplain Boundary Map
	Indiana Floodplain Information Portal Report
Section 3:	Plan Sheets

Appendix C, Section 1: SCS Curve Number Method



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Conservation Engineering Division

Technical Release 55

June 1986

Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds

TR-55

Chapter 2

Estimating Runoff

SCS runoff curve number method

The SCS Runoff Curve Number (CN) method is described in detail in NEH-4 (SCS 1985). The SCS runoff equation is

$$Q = \frac{\left(P - I_a\right)^2}{\left(P - I_a\right) + S}$$
 [eq. 2-1]

where

Q = runoff(in)

P = rainfall (in)

S = potential maximum retention after runoff begins (in) and

I_a = initial abstraction (in)

Initial abstraction (I_a) is all losses before runoff begins. It includes water retained in surface depressions, water intercepted by vegetation, evaporation, and infiltration. I_a is highly variable but generally is correlated with soil and cover parameters. Through studies of many small agricultural watersheds, I_a was found to be approximated by the following empirical equation:

$$I_a = 0.2S$$
 [eq. 2-2]

By removing I_a as an independent parameter, this approximation allows use of a combination of S and P to produce a unique runoff amount. Substituting equation 2-2 into equation 2-1 gives:

$$Q = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{(P + 0.8S)}$$
 [eq. 2-3]

S is related to the soil and cover conditions of the watershed through the CN. CN has a range of 0 to 100, and S is related to CN by:

$$S = \frac{1000}{CN} - 10$$
 [eq. 2-4]

Figure 2-1 and table 2-1 solve equations 2-3 and 2-4 for a range of CN's and rainfall.

Factors considered in determining runoff curve numbers

The major factors that determine CN are the hydrologic soil group (HSG), cover type, treatment, hydrologic condition, and antecedent runoff condition (ARC). Another factor considered is whether impervious areas outlet directly to the drainage system (connected) or whether the flow spreads over pervious areas before entering the drainage system (unconnected). Figure 2-2 is provided to aid in selecting the appropriate figure or table for determining curve numbers.

CN's in table 2-2 (a to d) represent average antecedent runoff condition for urban, cultivated agricultural, other agricultural, and arid and semiarid rangeland uses. Table 2-2 assumes impervious areas are directly connected. The following sections explain how to determine CN's and how to modify them for urban conditions.

Hydrologic soil groups

Infiltration rates of soils vary widely and are affected by subsurface permeability as well as surface intake rates. Soils are classified into four HSG's (A, B, C, and D) according to their minimum infiltration rate, which is obtained for bare soil after prolonged wetting. Appendix A defines the four groups and provides a list of most of the soils in the United States and their group classification. The soils in the area of interest may be identified from a soil survey report, which can be obtained from local SCS offices or soil and water conservation district offices.

Most urban areas are only partially covered by impervious surfaces: the soil remains an important factor in runoff estimates. Urbanization has a greater effect on runoff in watersheds with soils having high infiltration rates (sands and gravels) than in watersheds predominantly of silts and clays, which generally have low infiltration rates.

Any disturbance of a soil profile can significantly change its infiltration characteristics. With urbanization, native soil profiles may be mixed or removed or fill material from other areas may be introduced. Therefore, a method based on soil texture is given in appendix A for determining the HSG classification for disturbed soils.

Manning's equation is:

$$V = \frac{1.49r^{\frac{2}{3}}s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n}$$
 [eq. 3-4]

where:

V = average velocity (ft/s)

r = hydraulic radius (ft) and is equal to a/p_w a = cross sectional flow area (ft²) p_w = wetted perimeter (ft)

s = slope of the hydraulic grade line (channel slope, ft/ft)

n = Manning's roughness coefficient for open channel flow.

Manning's n values for open channel flow can be obtained from standard textbooks such as Chow (1959) or Linsley et al. (1982). After average velocity is computed using equation 3-4, $T_{\rm t}$ for the channel segment can be estimated using equation 3-1.

Reservoirs or lakes

Sometimes it is necessary to estimate the velocity of flow through a reservoir or lake at the outlet of a watershed. This travel time is normally very small and can be assumed as zero.

Limitations

- Manning's kinematic solution should not be used for sheet flow longer than 300 feet. Equation 3-3 was developed for use with the four standard rainfall intensity-duration relationships.
- In watersheds with storm sewers, carefully identify
 the appropriate hydraulic flow path to estimate T_c.
 Storm sewers generally handle only a small portion
 of a large event. The rest of the peak flow travels
 by streets, lawns, and so on, to the outlet. Consult a
 standard hydraulics textbook to determine average
 velocity in pipes for either pressure or nonpressure
 flow.
- The minimum T_c used in TR-55 is 0.1 hour.


 A culvert or bridge can act as a reservoir outlet if there is significant storage behind it. The procedures in TR-55 can be used to determine the peak flow upstream of the culvert. Detailed storage routing procedures should be used to determine the outflow through the culvert.

Example 3-1

The sketch below shows a watershed in Dyer County, northwestern Tennessee. The problem is to compute T_c at the outlet of the watershed (point D). The 2-year 24-hour rainfall depth is 3.6 inches. All three types of flow occur from the hydraulically most distant point (A) to the point of interest (D). To compute T_c , first determine T_t for each segment from the following information:


Segment AB: Sheet flow; dense grass; slope (s) = 0.01 ft/ft; and length (L) = 100 ft. Segment BC: Shallow concentrated flow; unpaved; s = 0.01 ft/ft; and L = 1,400 ft. Segment CD: Channel flow; Manning's n = .05; flow area (a) = 27 ft²; wetted perimeter (p_w) = 28.2 ft; s = 0.005 ft/ft; and L = 7,300 ft.

See figure 3-2 for the computations made on worksheet 3.



Appendix C, Section 2: FEMA Floodplain Boundary Map

Indiana Floodplain Information Portal
Report





Indiana Floodplain Information Portal Report

Point of Interest

Effective Flood Zone:

Χ

Preliminary Flood Zone:

N/A

Best Available Flood Zone:

Approximate Flood Elevation:

472.6ft NAVD88

Source:

Zone A Model Delineation

Nearest Stream:

TURTLE CREEK

Map Legend

Point of Interest



Nearest Point on Stream

Best Available Flood Zone

FEMA Zone AE Floodway

DNR Detailed Floodway

DNR Approximate Floodway

FEMA Zone A

FEMA Zone AE

DNR Detailed Fringe

DNR Approximate Fringe


🔲 Additional Floodplain Area

FEMA Protected by Levee

FEMA Floodplain - Ponding (Depth)

FEMA Floodplain - Sheet Flow (Depth)


Site Map with Best Available Flood Zone



Approximate scale 1:36,000

Appendix C, Section 3: Plan Sheets

Surface Water Control – Detail #1 – Intermediate Diversion Berm





N 6 E9

